

**Class50**

Applications

(2) For  $f \in L^1[0, 2\pi]$ , let  $a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(y) e^{-iny} dy, n = \dots -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (Fourier coeffi.)

Mercel's thm:

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} a_n = 0$  }  $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Pf: Parseval's equalitz} \Rightarrow \text{time for } f \in L^2[0, 2\pi] \\ \text{If } f \in L^1, \text{ then } \exists f_k \in L^2 \ni f_k \rightarrow f \text{ in } \|\cdot\|_1 \Rightarrow a_n(f_k) \rightarrow a_n(f) \text{ unif in } n \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right\}$

Question:  $\{a_n\} \ni \lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} a_n = 0 \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \exists f \in L^1[0, 2\pi] \ni \{a_n\}$  Fourier coeffi's of  $f$

Ans. No. (by inverse mapping thm)

Reason: Let  $T : L^1[0, 2\pi] \rightarrow C_0 = \left\{ \{a_n\} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} a_n = 0 \right\}$  (with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ )

Then  $T$  is 1-1, bdd, linear transf.,

$$\begin{aligned} \because |a_n| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \\ \Rightarrow \|\{a_n\}\|_\infty &\leq \|f\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

(cf. W.Rudin, Real and complex analysis, pp.103-104)

If,  $T$  onto, then  $L^1[0, 2\pi] \cong C_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow L^1[0, 2\pi]^* &\cong C_0^* \\ &\cong \\ L^\infty[0, 2\pi] &\cong e' \end{aligned}$$

nonseparable separable  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Let  $x \in \bar{X}$

Check:  $T$  conti. at  $x \in \bar{X}$

Assume  $x_n \rightarrow x$

Check:  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$

$$\because x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow x_n - x + z \rightarrow z$$

$$\Rightarrow T(x_n - x + z) \rightarrow Tz$$

↓

$$Tx_n - Tx + Tz$$

$$\Rightarrow Tx_n \rightarrow Tx \text{ as needed.}$$

$$(1) A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} : l^2 \rightarrow l^2 \Rightarrow A \text{ bdd}$$

Pf.: (Idea: by closed graph thm).

Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  &  $Ax_n \rightarrow y$  in  $\|\cdot\|_2$

$$\therefore \langle Ax_n, z \rangle = \langle x_n, Az \rangle$$

↓

↓

$$\langle y, z \rangle \quad \langle x, Az \rangle$$

∥

∥

$$\langle Ax, z \rangle \quad \forall z \in l^2$$

$$\Rightarrow y = Ax$$

$\therefore G_A$  is closed

$\therefore$  closed graph thm  $\Rightarrow A$  bdd

(cf. J.B Conway, A course in functional analysis, 2nd ed., p.93, Ex.7)

Note 1. Ex.4.6.6 not correct

$\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  Banach spaces

$$T : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y} \text{ linear transf, } \ker T = \{x \in \bar{X} : Tx = 0\} \subseteq \bar{X}$$

Then  $T$  bdd  $\Rightarrow \ker T$  closed in  $\bar{X}$

Pf.:  $\therefore \ker T = T^{-1}\{0\}$  closed

( $\therefore$  In metric space, singleton closed).

Ex. Let  $\bar{X} = C_0 = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_n \in \mathbb{R}, x_n \rightarrow 0\}$  (cf. B. Gelbaum, Problems in analysis, Problem 376)

$$\bar{Y} = l^1$$

Then  $(C_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty), (l^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  Banach spaces.

Check:  $\dim C_0 = \dim l^1$

$$\because \#C_0 = \#l^1 = \aleph_1 \left( \mathbb{R} \subseteq l^1, C_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \right)$$

&  $\lim C_0, \lim l^1 = \infty$  ( $\because \exists$  infinitely many indep. vectors)

[ Note 1.  $\bar{X}$  Banach space  
 $\Rightarrow \lim \bar{X} < \infty$  or  $\lim \bar{X} \geq \aleph_1$   
 i.e. No Banach space has a countable Hamel basis (Ex.4.8.7) ]

$\Rightarrow \dim C_0 = \dim l^1 = \aleph_1$  (Note:  $\{x_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)\}$  not a Hamel basis)

n-th

Let  $\{x_\alpha\}, \{y_\alpha\}$  Hamel basis for  $C_0, l^1$ , resp.

$\therefore \forall x \in C_0, x = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha x_\alpha$ , where  $\lambda_\alpha = 0$  except finitely many  $\alpha$ 's.

$$\text{Let } Tx = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha y_\alpha$$

Then  $T$  is 1-1, onto, linear transf.

[ Note 2.  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  vector spaces (Ex.4.8.3)  
 Then  $\bar{X}$  isomorphic to  $\bar{Y}$  iff  $\dim \bar{X} = \dim \bar{Y}$   
 (only algebraically) ]

Note: 3 levels of isomorphism between normed spaces:

- (1) isomorphism (algebraically)
- (2) homeo. isom. (top+alg.)
- (3) isome. isom. (norm+alg.)

$$\therefore \ker T = \{0\} \text{ closed}$$

But  $T$  not bdd.

Reason: If  $T$  bdd, then, by (1),  $C_0 \cong l^1$   
 (topo & algebraically)

$$\Rightarrow C_0^* \cong l^{1*}$$

$$\cong \cong$$

$$l^1 \quad l^\infty$$

separable not separable  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Homework:

Sec.4.6, Ex.2,3,5,6 (modified)

$\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  Banach spaces &  $T : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  linear

Note 2.  $T$  bdd  $\Leftrightarrow \ker T$  closed in  $\bar{X}$  if  $\lim \bar{Y} < \infty$

$\Leftarrow$ :

Pf.: Consider  $\tilde{T} : \bar{X} / \ker T \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  1-1 on Banach spaces (Here " $\ker T$  closed" needed)

$\Rightarrow \lim \bar{X} / \ker T \leq \lim \bar{Y} < \infty$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{T}$  bdd  $\Rightarrow T = \tilde{T} \circ \pi$  bdd.

Sec.4.8. Hahn-Banach Thm

$\bar{X}$  normed space over  $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$

Ex.  $\bar{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$  over  $\mathbb{R}$

$$f \in \bar{X}^* \Leftrightarrow [y_1, \dots, y_n]; f(x) = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_i y_i x_i$$

Def.  $\bar{X}^* = \{f : \bar{X} \rightarrow F : f \text{ bdd, linear}\}$  (dual of  $\bar{X}$ )  
(linear functional)

Motivation:

In Banach space,  $f \in \bar{X}^*, x \in \bar{X}, f(x)$  to replace inner product in Hilbert space.

Note:  $\bar{X}^*$  Banach space (p.136, Thm.4.4.4)

Hahn-Banach Thm says " $\bar{X}^*$  is rich"

Note: Nothing to do with "completeness"; unlike uniform bddness principle & open mapping thm)

$\bar{X}$  vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$

$P : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \ni p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  ( $p$  acts as noun)

$$p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x) \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0$$

$\bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X}$  subspace

$f : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , linear &  $f(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in \bar{Y}$ .

Then  $f$  can be extended to  $F : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  linear &  $F(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in \bar{X}$

Pf.

Zorn's Lemma {

Let  $K = \{(\bar{Y}_\alpha, g_\alpha) : \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{Y}_\alpha \subseteq \bar{X}, g_\alpha : \bar{Y}_\alpha \rightarrow \square, \text{ linear, extend } f \text{ \& } g_\alpha(x) \leq p(x) \forall x \in \bar{Y}_\alpha\}$   
 subspace

Define  $(\bar{Y}_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq (\bar{Y}_\beta, g_\beta)$  if  $\bar{Y}_\alpha \subseteq \bar{Y}_\beta$  &  $g_\alpha = g_\beta$  on  $\bar{Y}_\alpha$

Then  $(K, \leq)$  partially ordered (reflexive, antisymmetric & transitive)

Also, if  $\{(\bar{Y}_\alpha, g_\alpha)\}$  totally ordered, let  $\bar{Y}' = \bigcup_\alpha \bar{Y}_\alpha$  &  $g'(x) = g_\alpha(x)$  if  $x \in \bar{Y}_\alpha \subseteq \bar{Y}'$ .

Then  $(\bar{Y}', g') \in K$  &  $(\bar{Y}_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq (\bar{Y}', g') \forall \alpha$ .

i.e. any totally ordered  $\{(\bar{Y}_\alpha, g_\alpha)\}$  has an upper bd (in  $K$ )

$\therefore$  Zorn's Lma  $\Rightarrow \exists$  max. element  $(\bar{Y}_0, g_0) \in K$ .

Check:  $\bar{Y}_0 = \bar{X}$ .

Assume  $\bar{Y}_0 \subsetneq \bar{X}$

Let  $y_1 \in \bar{X}$ , but  $y_1 \notin \bar{Y}_0$

Let  $\bar{Y}_1 = \{y + \lambda y_1 : y \in \bar{Y}_0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ : subspace &  $\supsetneq \bar{Y}_0$

Define  $g_1 : \bar{Y}_1 \rightarrow \square \ni g_1(y + \lambda y_1) = g_0(y) + \lambda C$ . for some  $C \in \mathbb{R}$

Note 1.  $g_1$  well-defined:

Reason:  $y + \lambda y_1 = y' + \lambda' y_1$

$$\Rightarrow y - y' = (\lambda' - \lambda) y_1$$

$$\begin{matrix} \in \\ \bar{Y}_0 \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \notin \\ \bar{Y}_0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda \text{ \& } y = y'$$

Note 2.  $g_1$  linear:

Note 3.  $g_1$  extended  $g_0$  (when  $\lambda = 0$ )

Need:  $c \ni g_0(y) + \lambda c \leq p(y + \lambda y_1) \forall y \in \bar{Y}_0 \text{ \& } \lambda \in \square$

Then  $(\bar{Y}_0, g_0) \leq (\bar{Y}_1, g_1)$  &  $\bar{Y}_0 \neq \bar{Y}_1$  &  $(\bar{Y}_1, g_1) \in K$ .

$\rightarrow \leftarrow$  max. of  $(\bar{Y}_0, g_0)$

Need  $c \ni \lambda c \leq p(y + \lambda y_1) - g_0(y) \quad \forall \lambda \in \square, y \in \bar{Y}_0$

$$\Leftrightarrow (1) \quad c \leq p \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{y}{\lambda} + y_1 \\ \downarrow \\ z \end{array} \right) - g_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{y}{\lambda} \\ \downarrow \\ z \end{array} \right) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \forall y \in \bar{Y}_0.$$

$$\& (2) \quad c \geq -p \left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{y}{\lambda} - y_1 \\ \downarrow \\ x \end{array} \right) - g_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{y}{\lambda} \\ \downarrow \\ x \end{array} \right) \quad \forall \lambda < 0, \forall y \in \bar{Y}_0.$$

one-dim extension  
due to Helly (1912)

(Note: for  $\lambda = 0, g_0(y) \leq p(y) \quad \forall y \in \bar{Y}_0$  holds)

Check:  $\Leftrightarrow \sup_{x \in \bar{Y}_0} \{-p(-x - y_1) - g_0(x)\} \leq C \leq \inf_{z \in \bar{Y}_0} \{p(z + y_1) - g_0(z)\}$   
 $\forall x, z \in \bar{Y}_0, -p(-x - y_1) - g_0(x) \leq p(z + y_1) - g_0(z)$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$g_0(z) - g_0(x) \leq p(z + y_1) + p(-x - y_1)$$

$$\parallel$$

$$g_0(z-x)$$

$$\therefore g_0(z-x) \leq p(z-x) \leq p(z + y_1) + p(-x - y_1) \quad \therefore \text{OK}$$

Note: Helly intersection Thm in convex analysis:  $\{a_\lambda, b_\lambda\}$  in  $\square$  & any two have nonempty intersection

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\lambda} [a_\lambda, b_\lambda] \neq \emptyset$$

More generally,  $\{A_\lambda\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compact, convex, any  $n+1$  have nonempty intersection

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\lambda} A_\lambda \neq \emptyset \quad \text{In this case, } a_\lambda = -p(-x - y_1) - g_0(x)$$

$$b_\lambda = p(x + y_1) - g_0(x)$$